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Abstract

This paper addresses the problem of timestamped event sequence matching, a new type of similar sequence matching that retrieves the occurrences of interesting patterns from timestamped sequence databases. The sequential-scan-based method, the trie-based method, and the method based on the iso-depth index are well-known approaches to this problem. In this paper, we point out their shortcomings, and propose a new method that effectively overcomes these shortcomings. The proposed method employs an $R^*$-tree, a widely accepted multi-dimensional index structure that efficiently supports timestamped event sequence matching. To build the $R^*$-tree, this method extracts time windows from every item in a timestamped event sequence and represents them as rectangles in $n$-dimensional space by considering the first and last occurring times of each event type. Here, $n$ is the total number of disparate event types that may occur in a target application. To resolve the dimensionality curse in the case when $n$ is large, we suggest an algorithm for reducing the dimensionality by grouping the event types. Our sequence matching method based on the $R^*$-tree performs with two steps. First, it efficiently identifies a small number of candidates by searching the $R^*$-tree. Second, it picks out true answers from the set of candidates. We prove its robustness formally, and also show its effectiveness via extensive experiments.
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1. Introduction

A sequence database is a set of data sequences, each of which comprises an ordered list of symbols or values [1]. Similar sequence matching is an operation that finds sequences similar to that of a query sequence from a sequence database [1,2,7,12]. Similar sequence matching is classified into two categories as follows [7,12]:

---

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +82 2 2123 5714; fax: +82 2 365 2579.
E-mail addresses: sanghyun@cs.yonsei.ac.kr (S. Park), jiwon@hanyang.ac.kr (J.-I. Won), jhyoon@hallym.ac.kr (J.-H. Yoon), wook@hanyang.ac.kr (S.-W. Kim).

0020-0255/$ - see front matter © 2007 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.
doi:10.1016/j.ins.2007.06.020
Whole matching: Given $N$ data sequences $S_1, \ldots, S_N$ and a query sequence $Q$, we find all the data sequences $S_i$ that are similar to $Q$. Here, we note that the data and query sequences should be of the same length.

Subsequence matching: Given $N$ data sequences $S_1, \ldots, S_N$ and a query sequence $Q$, we find all the data sequences $S_i$, whose subsequences are similar to $Q$, and the offsets in $S_i$ of those subsequences. Here, the data and query sequences are allowed to be of arbitrary lengths.

Since subsequence matching is a generalization of whole matching, it is applicable to real applications wider than whole matching. During the past decades, there have been many research efforts on similar sequence matching in sequence databases. Based on these results, many useful techniques for similar sequence matching have been proposed [1, 2, 5, 7, 9, 11, 14–16, 18, 21–23].

Wang et al. [19] defined a new type of a similar sequence matching problem that deals with timestamped event sequences. It is called timestamped event sequence matching. To exemplify this problem, let us examine a domain of event management in a network environment. Here, items, each of which is a pair of (event type, timestamp), are sequentially added into a log file in their chronological order whenever pre-determined events arise. In this situation, a sequence is an ordered list of items. Fig. 1 shows an example of a sequence in a log file.

In such environments, the queries to identify the temporal relationship among events are frequently issued as follows [19].

**Query 1.** Find all occurrences of CiscoDCDLinkUp that are followed by MLMStatusUp within 20 ± 2 s as well as TCPConnectionClose within 40 ± 3 s.

In Ref. [19], a sequence of items as in Fig. 1 is called a *timestamped event sequence*. Also, Query 1 above is a query sequence $Q$ of $\langle$ CiscoDCDLinkUp, 0 $\rangle$, $\langle$ MLMStatusUp, 20 $\rangle$, $\langle$ TCPConnectionClose, 40 $\rangle$. The timestamped event sequence matching problem is to find subsequences similar to $Q$ from a timestamped event sequence $S$. Here, the tolerance is ±2 for the time interval between CiscoDCDLinkUp and MLMStatusUp and ±3 for the time interval between CiscoDCDLinkUp and TCPConnectionClose.

The timestamped event sequence matching has two unique properties in comparison with the original similar sequence matching.

- **Property 1:** The timestamp gap among events in a query sequence and the order of events are important to determine the degree of similarity.

- **Property 2:** A subsequence $X$ of a data sequence $S$ is defined as a sequence obtained by removing some items from $S$. That is, $X$ could be a list of non-contiguous items in $S$.

Previous methods proposed for the original similar sequence matching are not directly applicable to this new problem since they do not consider these two properties. Ref. [19] tackled this problem and proposed
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Fig. 1. Example of a timestamped event sequence in a network environment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Timestamp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CiscoDCDLinkUp</td>
<td>19:08:01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLMSocketClose</td>
<td>19:08:07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLMStatusUp</td>
<td>19:08:20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MiddleLayerManagerUp</td>
<td>19:08:37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCPConnectionClose</td>
<td>19:08:39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 It is rare but permitted that more than one item have the same timestamp in a sequence.
a method that employs a new index structure called an iso-depth index. This method uses the concept of a time window, a time interval of a fixed size. It extracts contiguous subsequences of a predetermined time window size from every possible position of items in a timestamped event sequence, and builds a trie [8] on them. Then, it constructs an iso-depth index by referencing the trie via a depth-first traversal.

The iso-depth index consists of two parts: a set of iso-depth arrays and a set of offset lists. In the trie built as above, there are a set of groups of nodes that contain the events of the same type, which also occur after the same time interval from the starting point of the time window. Each iso-depth array contains multiple entries, each of which has a range of IDs for those nodes belonging to the same group. Also, each offset list is in a one-to-one correspondence with a leaf node in a trie, and has the starting offsets, within a sequence, of the time windows that match the pattern in the path from the root to that leaf node.

The event subsequence matching based on the iso-depth index proceeds as follows. First, it extracts all the items from a query sequence. For each item, it finds the entries matched with the item by searching the iso-depth arrays. For each node belonging to the entries matched with all the items specified in a query sequence, it searches for the starting points of the subsequences within a timestamped event sequence which are matched with a query sequence. This method based on the iso-depth index effectively finds non-contiguous time-stamped event subsequences.

In this paper, however, we point out two problems with this approach:

1. This method performs well when a query specifies the exact values for the time intervals between the first and the following events in a query sequence, i.e., when the tolerance is 0 since it accesses only one iso-depth array from the disk for each event within a query sequence. When a query specifies the value ranges for those time intervals, i.e., when the tolerance is larger than 0 it has to access multiple iso-depth arrays from the disk. Thus, the performance of the method becomes worse as the tolerance gets larger.

2. When constructing the iso-depth index from a trie, the method assigns IDs (starting with 0, which is for the root) to nodes of the trie in the depth-first traversal fashion. Also, it sorts the entries within an iso-depth array according to those IDs. Thus, such IDs are not changeable once the iso-depth index has been built [19]. Therefore, the method does not allow further insertions and deletions of nodes after constructing a trie [19]. To alleviate this problem, we recommended building a separate trie for newly arrived events. Due to these characteristics, the method is not appropriate for dynamic situations where events continuously arrive into a sequence over time.

Specifically, Ref. [19] evaluated performance for only the cases of the queries for the tolerance of 0. In real applications, however, most queries issued in this form would not find subsequences matched to a query sequence. Even with a small tolerance, this problem would happen. Also, the proper tolerance would differ depending on the types of the subsequent events in a query sequence.

For these reasons, we adopt a new query model that specifies time intervals as forms of value ranges. Each interval is for the allowed time gap between the first and the subsequent events in a query sequence. These ranges are set according to the characteristics of applications as well as occurring events. As the range gets larger, Problem (1) of the previous method becomes more serious. In this research, we identified that Problems (1) and (2) of the previous method are mainly due to the structural characteristics of the iso-depth index. Based on this identification, we propose a novel method that replaces it with a multi-dimensional index. Our method places a time window on every item in a timestamped event sequence. We refer to the part of the event sequence covered by this time window as a data window. Next, we represent each data window as a rectangle over $n$-dimensional space. Here, the dimensionality of $n$ represents the number of possible event types in a given application. Also, the range for each dimension of a rectangle specifies the first and last occurring times of its corresponding event. Because there are a significant number of rectangles extracted from an event sequence, we build an $R^*$-tree, a widely-accepted multi-dimensional index, for indexing them.

The subsequence matching based on the $R^*$-tree performs as follows. First, given a query sequence, it forms a rectangle that also reflects the occurring time ranges to all the event types. We refer to the rectangle as a query rectangle. By searching the $R^*$-tree, it retrieves data rectangles that are overlapped with the query rectangle. We call these rectangles candidate data rectangles. Finally, for candidate data rectangles, it accesses their corresponding data windows within an event sequence from the disk, and eliminates false alarms [1, 7] by
examining whether they are true answers. When the number of events, n, is large, the problem of the dimensionality curse [20] appears, and thus makes the search performance of the R’-tree degrade significantly [4]. To solve this problem, we propose an effective approach that reduces the number of dimensions via grouping event types.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 defines the terminology and notations used throughout subsequent sections, and formulates the problem we are going to solve in this paper. Section 3 briefly reviews the prior methods for the problem of timestamped event sequence matching. Section 4 proposes a novel method for the problem in detail. Section 5 verifies the superiority of the proposed method via performance evaluation with extensive experiments. Finally, Section 6 summarizes and concludes the paper.

2. Problem definition

In this section, we formulate the problem of subsequence matching on timestamped event sequences. Before proceeding, we define the terminology and notations necessary for further presentation.

Definition 1 (Timestamped event sequence T). T, a timestamped event sequence, is a list of pairs of \((e(T_i), ts(T_i))\) \((1 \leq i \leq n)\) defined as follows:

\[
T = \langle (e(T_1), ts(T_1)), (e(T_2), ts(T_2)), \ldots, (e(T_n), ts(T_n)) \rangle
\]

where \(e(T_i)\) is an event type and \(ts(T_i)\) is the timestamp at which \(e(T_i)\) has occurred. Also, a pair \((e(T_i), ts(T_i))\) is referred to as the \(i^{th}\) item of \(T\) and is denoted as \(T_i\). The number of items in \(T\) is denoted as \(|T|\). The time interval between the first and last events, i.e., \(ts(T_n) - ts(T_1)\), is denoted as \(|T|\).

Hereafter, we call a timestamped event sequence an event sequence for short. Also, we safely assume that all items are listed in ascending order of their timestamps, i.e., \(ts(T_i) \leq ts(T_j)\) for \(i < j\). We can simply handle the situations where this assumption does not hold by sorting the items within an event sequence according to their timestamps. To exemplify the following definitions, we employ \(T_{\text{example}} = \langle (a, 0), (b, 3), (c, 5), (d, 6), (e, 11), (f, 32) \rangle\).

Definition 2 (Non-contiguous subsequence \(T’\)). If two event sequences \(T(= \langle T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_n \rangle)\) and \(T’(= \langle T’_1, T’_2, \ldots, T’_k \rangle)\) satisfy the condition below, \(T’\) is referred to as a non-contiguous subsequence of \(T\).

- For all \(j(= 1, 2, \ldots, k)\), there exists at least one list of subscripts of \(T, \langle i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k \rangle\) that makes \(T’_j = T_{i_j}\) satisfied, where \(i_j < i_{j+1}\).

Intuitively, \(T’\) is a sequence obtained by eliminating some items from \(T\). Hereafter, for simplicity, we call a non-contiguous subsequence a subsequence. \(T_{\text{example}}’ = \langle (b, 3), (d, 6), (e, 11) \rangle\) is one of the non-contiguous subsequences of \(T_{\text{example}}\) since \(T_{\text{example}}’\) and \(T_{\text{example}}\) satisfy the above conditions.

Definition 3 (Query pattern \(QP\)). \(QP\), a query pattern, consists of an event list \(EL\) and a range list \(RL\) defined below. Each element \(e_i\) in \(EL\) implies the \(i^{th}\) event, and each pair \((\min_i, \max_i)\) in \(RL\) is the time range within which \(e_i\) has to occur following the first event.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{QP.EL} &= \langle e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_k \rangle \\
\text{QP.RL} &= \langle (\min_1, \max_1), (\min_2, \max_2), \ldots, (\min_k, \max_k) \rangle
\end{align*}
\]

Here, \(\min_1 = \max_1 = 0\) for all queries and \(\max_i \leq \min_{i+1}\) holds for all \(i(= 1, 2, \ldots, k - 1)\) [19].

\(|\text{QP.EL}|\) is the number of events \((=k)\) in \(\text{QP.EL}\), and \(|\text{QP}|\) is the time interval covered by \(QP\), which is \(\max_k - \min_1\). Also, \(\text{QP.E}_i\) is the \(i^{th}\) event in \(\text{QP.EL}\). We can form an example query pattern as follows: \(\text{QP}_{\text{example}.EL} = \langle b, d, e \rangle, \text{QP}_{\text{example}.RL} = \langle (0, 0), (2, 4), (7, 10) \rangle\).

Definition 4 (Time window and data window). For effective indexing, we fix the time unit for indexing to the maximum \(|\text{QP}|\) among query patterns used in a target application. We define this unit as a time window \(TW\), and denote its size as \(|TW|\). Also, we define the contiguous subsequences of size \(|TW|\) extracted from every
position of items in an event sequence as data windows $DW$. If we set $\|TW\|$ equal to 10, we can obtain the following six time windows from $T_{\text{example}}$: $TW_1 = \langle (a, 0), (b, 3), (c, 5), (d, 6), (e, 11) \rangle$, $TW_2 = \langle (b, 3), (c, 5), (d, 6), (e, 11) \rangle$, $TW_3 = \langle (c, 5), (d, 6), (e, 11) \rangle$, $TW_4 = \langle (d, 6), (e, 11) \rangle$, $TW_5 = \langle (e, 11) \rangle$, and $TW_6 = \langle (f, 32) \rangle$.

**Definition 5** (Matching of event sequence $T'$ and query pattern $QP$). $T'$ and $QP$ are considered to match with each other if the following three conditions are all satisfied.

- **Condition 1**: $|T'| = |QP.EL|$.
- **Condition 2**: $e(T'_i) = QP.EL_i$ for all $i(=1, 2, \ldots, |T'|)$.
- **Condition 3**: $\min_i \leq ts(T'_i) - ts(T'_1) \leq \max_i$ for all $i(=1, 2, \ldots, |T'|)$.

For instance, $T'_{\text{example}}$ and $QP_{\text{example}}$ are said to match each other since the above three conditions are all satisfied.

**Definition 6** (Timestamped event sequence matching). Timestamped event sequence matching, or event sequence matching for short, is the problem of finding the locations of all the subsequences $T'$ that are matched to a query pattern $QP$ from an event sequence $T$ in the disk. Given the example query pattern $QP_{\text{example}}$, the event sequence matching finds the location of $T'_{\text{example}}$ from $T_{\text{example}}$.

Here, we define the event sequence matching problem to target just one event sequence in a disk. In real applications, however, multiple event sequences in a database could exist. We can simply handle this situation in two ways: First, we connect all the event sequences into a long one, and then perform event sequence matching. Second, we perform event sequence matching on all the event sequences independently, and then merge all the results into one. So, from now on in this paper, we do not address the case of event sequence matching on multiple event sequences. Table 1 summarizes the notations used throughout the paper.

### 3. Related work

This section briefly reviews prior methods for processing timestamped event sequence matching. We first describe the sequential-scan-based method and the trie-based method. Then, we explain the method based on the iso-depth index and identify its drawbacks.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notation</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$T$</td>
<td>A timestamped event sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_i$</td>
<td>The $i$th item of $T$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e(T_i)$</td>
<td>The event type of the $i$th item of $T$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ts(T_i)$</td>
<td>The timestamp of the $i$th item of $T$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$|T|$</td>
<td>The time interval covered by $T(=ts(T_{</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T'$</td>
<td>A subsequence of $T$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$QP$</td>
<td>A query pattern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$|QP|$</td>
<td>The time interval covered by $QP$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$QP.EL$</td>
<td>An event list of $QP$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$|QP.EL|$</td>
<td>The number of events in the event list of $QP$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$QP.EL_i$</td>
<td>The $i$th event in the event list of $QP$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$QP.EL$</td>
<td>A range list of $QP$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$TW$</td>
<td>A time window</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$|TW|$</td>
<td>The size of a time window</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$DW$</td>
<td>A data window</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.1. Sequential-scan-based method

As a straightforward method for event sequence matching, we sequentially read all the items in an event sequence accessed from the disk and find subsequences matched with a query pattern. We call this method a sequential-scan-based method. It is the simplest approach, however, it accesses the entire event sequence from the disk. Also, it requires much CPU overhead since it compares every possible non-contiguous subsequence within an event sequence with the query pattern. Thus, this method is not appropriate in a large database environment.

3.2. Trie-based method

The trie is a useful data structure designed to find contiguous subsequences that are exactly the same as a query sequence [8]. For event sequence matching, the trie-based method first constructs a trie on all the data windows extracted from every position of items within an event sequence. Unlike the case of ordinary strings, pairs of (event type, timestamp) appear in an event sequence. So, in this case, the trie should regard each pair as one symbol. For this, Ref. [19] applies a function \( f \) defined below to each subsequence \( T \) and encodes it into a one-dimensional sequence \( S \):

\[
f(T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_k) = (S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_k)
\]

where \( S_i = e(T_i) \) when \( i = 1 \)

\[
S_i = e(T_i)_{n(T_i) - n(T_{i-1})}\quad \text{when } i > 1
\]

For example, if we extract data windows with \( \|TW\| = 4 \) from an original sequence \( \langle e, 41 \rangle, \langle a, 45 \rangle, \langle d, 47 \rangle, \langle c, 48 \rangle, \langle a, 49 \rangle, \langle b, 50 \rangle \rangle \), we obtain six data windows of \( \langle e, a4 \rangle, \langle a0, d2, c1, a1 \rangle, \langle d0, c1, a1, b1 \rangle, \langle c0, a1, b1 \rangle, \langle a0, b1 \rangle, \langle b0 \rangle \rangle \). Then, the method builds a trie on these data windows. In the leaf nodes, it also stores the offsets where data windows start within an event sequence.

In timestamped event sequence matching, it first visits the root node of the trie, and then traverses the paths matched with a query pattern \( QP \) in a depth-first fashion. We note that all the non-contiguous subsequences in each path should be compared with the query pattern. In this way, when a subsequence in a path from the root to an internal node \( V \) is matched with a query pattern, it returns the offsets that are stored in all the leaf nodes under the node \( V \).

This method performs effectively when tolerances for events are specified as a constant rather than a range. However, when they are given as a form of ranges, the performance degrades considerably since it accesses the same path in a trie multiple times. Also, the trie is not appropriate to integrate itself with DBMSs seamlessly since it has some difficulty in paginating its structure [17,19].

On the other hand, the indexing method proposed in this paper employs an \( R^* \)-tree as a basic index structure which has been successfully integrated with DBMSs. Furthermore, when tolerances for time intervals in a user query become larger than 0, the proposed method just enlarges the corresponding query rectangle and thus has no problem accessing the same data pages more than once.

3.3. Iso-depth index based method

The iso-depth index [19] is a different representation of a trie, and successfully overcomes drawbacks of the trie in event sequence matching. The iso-depth index is composed of two parts: a set of iso-depth arrays and a set of offset lists. Its construction from a given trie is summarized as follows. Each node \( V \) in a trie is assigned a pair \( (V_s, V_m) \), where \( V_s \) is the ID of a node \( V \), and \( V_m \) is the largest one among IDs of \( V \)'s descendent nodes. Because the IDs for nodes in a trie are given sequentially in a depth-first fashion, the ID of any descendent of \( V \) always has a value between \( V_s \) and \( V_m \). Next, for every pair of an event type \( x \) and a time interval \( d = 1, 2, \ldots, \|TW\| \rangle \), its iso-depth array is constructed. The array is for the cases where an event of a type \( x \) appears after the first event within time \( d \) in data windows, and it stores \( (V_s, V_m) \) of the nodes corresponding to such events as its entries. Also, the information in all the leaf nodes is stored in a set of offset lists.
Figs. 2 and 3 show a process of constructing an iso-depth index on an event sequence $T(= ((e, 41), (a, 45), (d, 47), (c, 48), (a, 49), (b, 50)))$. Fig. 2 depicts linking of nodes in a trie that belong to a same entry $(x, d)$ in an iso-depth index after assigning IDs to all the nodes in a trie. For instance, the entry of $(a, 4)$ indicates the situation where the event of type $a$ follows the first event after the time interval 4, and thus it points to the nodes of $(5, 5)$ and $(15, 15)$ in the trie. Fig. 3 shows the iso-depth arrays and offset lists built from Fig. 2. They are stored in disk as a form of a sequential file or a $B^+$-tree index.

The subsequence matching based on the iso-depth index performs quite similarly to the one based on the trie. However, the big difference is that it can find the nodes which are matched with the events within a query pattern $QP$ directly from the iso-depth arrays without the trie traversal. We note that a node related to an event in $QP$ should be a descendant of the node related to its previous event in $QP$. With this approach, when the final nodes matched with $QP$ are identified, the offset information in the leaf nodes can be obtained by examining the corresponding offset lists.

This method performs best when the time intervals between the first and subsequent events in a query pattern are given as exact values, i.e., the tolerance of 0. When they are given as value ranges, however, it performs poorly since a larger number of entries in the iso-depth index need to be examined. Also, due to the characteristics of the iso-depth index, it is not properly applicable to a dynamic environment where events continuously arrive to a sequence.

Compared to the method based on the iso-depth index, the proposed method is less affected by the number of events and tolerance values specified in a query pattern, as demonstrated in Section 5. In addition, when
new events arrive at the system, we just insert new data rectangles to an existing \( R^* \)-tree. Therefore, our method is relatively well suited to a dynamic environment.

4. Proposed method

This section proposes a new indexing method that overcomes the problems of the aforementioned approaches, and suggests an algorithm that uses the proposed indexing method for event sequence matching.

4.1. Indexing

The proposed index construction algorithm is given in Algorithm 1. To support efficient event sequence matching, we first build an empty \( R^* \)-tree (Line 1). The dimensionality of the tree is equal to the total number of disparate event types that can occur in a target application. Next, we extract a time window at every possible position of an event sequence (Line 3). The maximum one among the time intervals covered by query patterns in a target application is set as the maximum size of a time window, \( \xi \). The time window \( TW \) starting at the \( i \)th position of \( T \) is produced by Algorithm 2. After generating \( TW \), we construct a rectangle from \( TW \) (Line 4) and insert it into the \( R^* \)-tree using \( i \) as its identifier (Line 5). Finally, we return the \( R^* \)-tree containing all rectangles (Line 6).

Algorithm 1. Index construction

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Input} & : \text{Event sequence } T, \text{ maximum size of time window } \xi \\
\text{Output} & : \text{ } R^* \text{-tree } I \\
1 & I := \text{createEmptyRstarTree}(); \\
2 & \text{for } (i = 1; i \leq |T|; i++) \text{ do} \\
3 & \quad TW := \text{extractTimeWindow}(T, i, \xi); \\
4 & \quad DR := \text{constructDataRectangle}(TW); \\
5 & \quad \text{insertRectangle}(I, DR, i); \\
6 & \text{return } I;
\end{align*}
\]

Let \( E = \{E_1, E_2, \ldots, E_n\} \) denote a set of \( n \) distinct event types. The rectangle from the time window \( TW \) is expressed as \(([\min_1, \max_1], [\min_2, \max_2], \ldots, [\min_n, \max_n])\). Here, \( \min_i (i = 1, 2, \ldots, n) \) denotes the difference between \( ts(TW_1) \) and \( \text{first}_{\text{ts}}(E_i) \) where \( ts(TW_1) \) is the timestamp of the first item of \( TW \) and \( \text{first}_{\text{ts}}(E_i) \) is the timestamp of the first occurrence of event type \( E_i \) in \( TW \). Similarly, \( \max_i \), denotes the difference between \( ts(TW_1) \) and \( \text{last}_{\text{ts}}(E_i) \) where \( \text{last}_{\text{ts}}(E_i) \) is the timestamp of the last occurrence of event type \( E_i \) in \( TW \). We assign \( \xi \) to both \( \min \) and \( \max \) when there are no instances of event type \( E_i \) in \( TW \).

Algorithm 2. Extraction of time window at the \( i \)th position

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Input} & : \text{Event sequence } T, \text{ position } i, \text{ maximum size of time window } \xi \\
\text{Output} & : \text{Time window } TW \\
1 & TW := \text{createEmptyWindow}(); \\
2 & \text{insertItem}(TW, T_i); \\
3 & \text{for } (j = i + 1; j \leq |T|; j++) \text{ do} \\
4 & \quad \text{if } (ts(T_j) - ts(T_i) \leq \xi) \text{ then} \\
5 & \quad \text{insertItem}(TW, T_j); \\
6 & \quad \text{else} \\
7 & \quad \text{break}; \\
8 & \text{return } TW;
\end{align*}
\]

Example 1. Let us consider an application where the total number of disparate event types is 5 (i.e., \( n = 5 \)) and the size of the time window is 20 s (i.e., \( \xi = 20 \)). If the time window \( TW \) beginning at the 100th position on an
The event sequence is \((E_3, 12), (E_4, 15), (E_3, 21), (E_4, 22), (E_5, 30)\), then the rectangle \(([20, 20], [20, 20], [9, 9], [3, 10], [18, 18])\) is constructed and then inserted into the \(R^*-tree\) with 100 as its identifier. The detailed procedure to construct the rectangle is as follows:

1. Since there are no occurrences of event types \(E_1\) and \(E_2\) in \(TW\), the size of the time window (i.e., \(\xi(=20)\)) is assigned to \(\min_1\), \(\max_1\), \(\min_2\), and \(\max_2\).
2. There is only one occurrence of event type \(E_3\) after the occurrence of the first event of \(TW\) and their time interval is \(9(=21 - 12)\). Therefore, 9 is assigned to both \(\min_3\) and \(\max_3\).
3. Just like the case of event type \(E_3\), there is only one occurrence of event type \(E_5\) after the occurrence of the first event of \(TW\). Since their time interval is \(18(=30 - 12)\), both \(\min_5\) and \(\max_5\) are assigned 18.
4. There are two occurrences of event type \(E_4\) after the occurrence of the first event of \(TW\); one is after \(3(=15 - 12)\) s and the other is after \(10(=22 - 12)\) s. Therefore, 3 and 10 are assigned to \(\min_4\) and \(\max_4\), respectively.

In practice, we maintain multiple \(R^*-trees\) in order to reduce the search space before starting an index search. More specifically, we insert the rectangle for the time window \(TW\) into an \(R^*-tree\) \(I_j\) when the first item of \(TW\) is of event type \(E_j\). Therefore, the proposed index structure consists of \(n\) \(R^*-trees\), \(I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_n\), and an index table (see Fig. 4). The index table consists of \(n\) entries, each of which stores the physical location of the corresponding \(R^*-tree\). Since each entry of the index table occupies \(\text{sizeof}(\text{eventType}) + \text{sizeof}(\text{pathName}) + \text{sizeof(fileName)}\), which is less than 1 Kbyte in most cases, the total size of the index table is not larger than \(n\) Kbytes. Therefore, even in an extreme case where \(n\) is 1000, the index table requires less than 1 Mbyte and thus the entire index table can be kept in main memory.

### 4.2. Event sequence matching

As explained in Section 2, a query pattern \(QP\) with \(k\) events has the following format: \(QP.EL = \langle e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_k \rangle\), \(QP.RL = \langle [\min_1, \max_1], [\min_2, \max_2], \ldots, [\min_k, \max_k] \rangle\). This query pattern requires that the events should occur in the order of \(e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_k\), and there should be an event \(e_i\) within the time range of \([\min_i, \max_i]\) after the occurrence of the first event \(e_1\). The event sequence matching algorithm that uses the proposed index structure is given in Algorithm 3.
Algorithm 3. Event sequence matching

**Input**: Query pattern \(QP = \langle (e_1, \ldots e_k), ([\min_1, \max_1], \ldots, [\min_k, \max_k]) \rangle\), Target index \(I\), Event sequence \(T\)

**Output**: Set of answers \(A\)

1. \(A := \{\}\);  
2. \(QR := \text{constructQueryRectangle}(QP)\);  
3. \(C := \text{findOverlappingRectangles}(I, QR)\);  
4. for (each identifier \(id \in C\)) do  
   5. if (isTrueAnswer\((T, id)\)) then  
   6. addAnswer\((A, id)\);  
7. return \(A\);

We first construct a query rectangle from a given query pattern (Line 2). The minimum and maximum values of each dimension of a query rectangle are obtained from the corresponding time ranges specified in a query pattern.

(1) If there are no time ranges specified for the event type \(E_i\) in a query pattern, then the range of the \(i\)th dimension becomes \(\langle 0, \infty \rangle\).

(2) If there is only one time range specified for the event type \(E_i\) in a query pattern, then the minimum and maximum values on that time range become the minimum and maximum values of the \(i\)th dimension, respectively.

(3) If there is more than one time range specified for the event type \(E_i\) in a query pattern, then the time range with the smallest interval determines the range of the \(i\)th dimension.

**Example 2.** Let us consider the query pattern \(QP\) in the application with \(n = 5\) and \(\zeta = 20\) s: 

\[QP.EL = \langle E_3, E_2, E_1, E_2, E_3 \rangle, \quad QP.RL = \langle [0, 0], [3, 5], [5, 7], [8, 11], [15, 20] \rangle.\]

This query pattern dictates that 5 events should occur in the order of \(E_3, E_2, E_1, E_2, \) and \(E_3\). It also demands that the intervals between the first and second events, between the first and third events, between the first and fourth events, and between the first and fifth events should be within the time ranges of \([3, 5]\), \([5, 7]\), \([8, 11]\), and \([15, 20]\), respectively. The detailed procedure to construct the query rectangle from this query pattern is as follows:

(1) For event type \(E_1\), there is only one constraint, with 5 as the minimum and 7 as the maximum. Therefore, the first dimension of the query rectangle becomes \([5, 7]\).

(2) For event type \(E_2\), there are two constraints, one with \([3, 5]\) and the other with \([8, 11]\). Between these two constraints, \([3, 5]\) has a smaller interval than \([8, 11]\) (i.e., \(5–3 < 11–8\)) and thus the second dimension of the query rectangle becomes \([3, 5]\).

(3) For event type \(E_3\), there is only a single constraint \([15, 20]\) and therefore the third dimension of the query rectangle is \([15, 10]\).

(4) Since there are no constraints on \(E_4\) and \(E_5\), the ranges of both the fourth and fifth dimensions are \([0, 20]\) (= \([0, \infty]\)).

As a result, the query rectangle for the above query pattern \(QP\) is represented as \(([5, 7], [3, 5], [15, 20], [0, 20], [0, 20])\).

After constructing the query rectangle from a query pattern, we search the multi-dimensional index \(I\) for the rectangles overlapping with the query rectangle (Line 3). As mentioned in Section 4.1, each query pattern has an associated \(R^*\)-tree according to the type of its first event. Therefore, the search is performed only on the \(R^*\)-tree dedicated to the type of \(e_1\). Its location is obtained by looking up the index table. The post-processing step begins after obtaining a set of candidate rectangles from the index search. Using the identifier of each candidate rectangle, this step reads the event sequence to verify whether the candidate actually matches the query pattern (Line 5). Only the candidate rectangles that are actually matched with the query pattern are added into the result set (Line 6) and then returned to the user (Line 7). The following theorem shows that the proposed
matching algorithm retrieves, without the risk of false dismissals, all the time windows that match a query pattern. Here, a false dismissal [1,7] is defined as missing the time windows that actually match a query pattern.

**Theorem 1.** For any time window $TW$ and query pattern $QP$, if $TW$ matches $QP$ then the rectangle for $TW$ overlaps the rectangle for $QP$. In a formal manner, $\forall_{TW,QP} \ (\text{match}(TW,QP) \Rightarrow \text{overlap}(\text{rectangle of } TW, \text{rectangle of } QP))$.

**Proof.** Let $DR$ be the rectangle for time window $TW$ and $QR$ be the rectangle for query pattern $QP$. $DR$ overlaps $QR$ only when the range of every dimension of $DR$ overlaps the range of the corresponding dimension of $QR$. Let us consider the $i$th dimension arbitrarily. The query pattern $QP$ may have no constraints, only one constraint, or more than one constraint on the event type $E_i$.

- **Case 1 – no constraints on $E_i$:** When $QP$ does not impose any constraint on $E_i$, the $i$th dimension of $QR$ has the range $[0, \zeta]$. The $i$th dimension of $DR$ always has the range contained in $[0, \zeta]$. Therefore, their ranges overlap each other.

- **Case 2 – only one constraint on $E_i$:** Let $QP$ have the sole constraint $[\text{min}_i, \text{max}_i]$ on $E_i$. If $TW$ matches $QP$, then $TW$ must have at least one occurrence of $E_i$ within the time range of $[\text{min}_i, \text{max}_i]$ after the occurrence of its first event. In this case, the range $[\text{min}_i', \text{max}_i']$ of the $i$th dimension of $DR$ certainly satisfies the following condition: “$\text{min}_i' \leq \text{max}_i$, AND $\text{max}_i' \geq \text{min}_i$”. Therefore, their ranges overlap each other.

- **Case 3 – more than one constraint on $E_i$:** In this case, only the constraint with the range of the smallest interval determines the range of the $i$th dimension of $QR$. This makes Case 3 become identical to Case 2.

It is now evident that, when $TW$ matches $QP$, the range of the $i$th dimension of $DR$ overlaps that of $QR$ in all cases. The proof on the $i$th dimension can be generalized to all the other dimensions and thus Theorem 1 has been proved. \qed

### 4.3. Dimensionality reduction

The proposed index becomes very high dimensional when $n$ is large. To prevent the problem of dimensionality curse [20] in this case, we apply event type grouping that classifies $n$ event types into a smaller number, say $m$, of event type groups. The composition of $m$ event type groups from $n$ event types enables the proposed $n$-dimensional index to be $m$-dimensional $(m \ll n)$. Such event type grouping, however, tends to enlarge the rectangles to be stored in the index, thereby diminishing the filtering effect in searching. Therefore, in this paper, we suggest a systematic algorithm (See Algorithm 4) that performs event type grouping with the least enlargement of the rectangles. For this algorithm, we define a distance metric that measures the degree of enlargement incurred by merging each pair of event types.

**Algorithm 4.** Event type grouping

**Input:** Set of $n$ event types $E = \{E_1, E_2, \ldots, E_n\}$, Event sequence $T$,

**Output:** Set of $m$ event type groups $G$

1. Using $T$, compute the distance of every pair of event types;
2. Construct a complete graph with $n$ nodes and $n(n - 1)/2$ edges;
3. Attach a unique label to each node using the integer values from 1 to $n$. The node labeled with the integer $i$ represents the event type $E_i$;
4. Attach a weight to each edge. The distance between $E_i$ and $E_j$ is used as a weight of the edge connecting the node $i$ and the node $j$;
5. **while** the number of connected components in the graph is less than $m$ **do**
   5.1 Remove from the graph the edge with the largest weight;
6. Extract $G$, a set of $m$ event type groups, from $m$ connected components;
7. Return $G$;
Given any two event types $E_i$ and $E_j$, their distance is determined by the difference between the average size of all the data rectangles before merging $E_i$ and $E_j$ and after merging $E_i$ and $E_j$. Let $[\min_i, \max_i]$ and $[\min_j, \max_j]$ be the ranges of the $i$th and $j$th dimensions of a data rectangle, respectively. If the $i$th and $j$th dimensions are merged into one, $[\min_i, \max_i]$ and $[\min_j, \max_j]$ are also merged into a single range $[\min(\min_i, \min_j), \max(\max_i, \max_j)]$. Given a time window $TW$, let $\text{Increment}_{i}(TW, ij)$ and $\text{Increment}_{j}(TW, ij)$ denote the increments of the ranges of the $i$th and $j$th dimensions of $TW$, respectively, resulting from merging the $i$th and $j$th dimensions. Then the distance between $E_i$ and $E_j$ within $TW$ is defined as follows:

\[
D(E_i, E_j, TW) = \frac{\text{Increment}_{i}(TW, ij) + \text{Increment}_{j}(TW, ij)}{2}
\]

By generalizing the above expression to all time windows in the event sequence, we obtain the following expression that computes the distance between two event types $(E_i, E_j)$. Here, $\#\text{timeWindows}$ denotes the total number of time windows in the event sequence.

\[
D(E_i, E_j) = \frac{\sum_{TW} D(E_i, E_j, TW)}{\#\text{timeWindows}}
\]

Example 3. Let us compute the distance between $E_4$ and $E_5$ within the time window whose data rectangle is $[(20, 20), (20, 20), (9, 9), (3, 10), (18, 18)]$. The range of the 4th dimension is $[3, 10]$; therefore, its size is $10 - 3 = 7$. The range of the 5th dimension is $[18, 18]$; therefore, its size is $18 - 8 = 10$. When we merge these two dimensions, we have the range of $[\min(3, 18), \max(10, 18)] = [3, 18]$ for the merged dimension. Therefore, its size in the merged dimension becomes $18 - 3 = 15$. The size increments of the ranges of the 4th and 5th dimensions are $8(=15 - 7)$ and $15(=15 - 0)$, respectively. Therefore, $D(E_4, E_5, TW) = (8 + 15)/2 = 11.5$.

After computing the distance of every pair of event types, we draw a weighted complete graph [13] with $n$ nodes. We attach a unique label to each node using the integer values from 1 to $n$. The node labeled with the integer $i$ represents the event type $E_i$. We then attach a weight $D(E_i, E_j)$ to each edge connecting the node $i$ and the node $j$. We delete from this graph an edge with the largest weight successively until the graph is partitioned into $m$ components. Finally, we have $m$ connected components as event type groups. Note that, although our indexing method employs the proposed grouping method for dimensionality reduction, the other grouping methods such as Ascendant Hierarchical Classification [6], $k$-means [10] and $k$-medoids [10] can also be combined with our indexing method for the same purpose.

5. Performance evaluation

This section verifies the superiority of the proposed method through an extensive performance evaluation. Section 5.1 describes the environment for the experiments, and Section 5.2 shows and analyzes the results.

5.1. Experimental environment

Each item in the event sequence $T$ is a pair of event type and timestamp, and both event type and timestamp require 4-byte integer space each. Therefore, the space required for storing the entire event sequence $T$ is $8|T|$ bytes. For the experiments, we generated synthetic event sequences with various $|T|$ and $n$ values. Within the range of $[1, n]$, the event types were generated according to either a uniform or zipf distribution, and their interarrival times followed an exponential distribution.

The queries with three event items were used as a standard query pattern. $QP.EL_i$, the event types for the query patterns, also followed the uniform distribution, and $QP.RL_i$, the tolerance ranges of the event types, varied according to the purpose of each experiment. We produced 100 queries for an individual experiment, executed each query 100 times, and computed their average elapsed time. The machine for the experiments was a personal computer with a Pentium IV 2 GHz CPU, main memory of 512 MB, and a Windows 2000 operating system.

We compared the performances of the following three methods: (1) the proposed method that employs the $R^t$-tree [3] whose page size is 1 KB, (2) the sequential-scan-based method, and (3) the method based on the isodepth index [19].
5.2. Results and analysis

5.2.1. Experiment 1: index size

In the first experiment we compared our method and the iso-depth index in terms of the index size. Regardless of the number of event types, the proposed method produced five event type groups by performing the event type grouping, and then constructed a five-dimensional \( R^* \)-tree. On the other hand, the iso-depth index was comprised of the iso-depth arrays and the offset lists stored in a sequential file structure with a \( B^+ \)-tree index.

Using data items generated according to a uniform distribution, Fig. 5 shows the sizes of the proposed index and the iso-depth index with increasing data set sizes. The \( X \)-axis is for the number of items in the event sequence, and the \( Y \)-axis is for the index sizes when the event sequence has 2.5 million items (20 Mbytes), 5 million items (40 Mbytes), or 10 million items (80 Mbytes). The size of the time window \( |TW| \) was fixed at 50, but the number of event types \( n \) was 20 at first and then changed to 80.

As clearly shown in the figure, both the proposed index and the iso-depth index grow linearly as the data set becomes larger. It is also evident that the number of event types only slightly affects the size of the proposed index but noticeably affects the size of the iso-depth index. This is because the iso-depth array, whose entry has the structure (event type, timestamp), becomes larger as the number of event types increases. On the contrary, the size of the proposed index is almost constant due to the event type grouping.

Using the data set with 2.5 million items (20 Mbytes) generated by a zipf distribution, Fig. 6 shows the sizes of the proposed index and the iso-depth index with increasing time window sizes. The number of event types, \( n \), was 20 at first and then changed to 80. The figure indicates that the iso-depth index becomes significantly larger as the time window becomes longer. This is because the number of arrays in the iso-depth index increases as the time window gets longer. The proposed index, however, becomes slightly smaller when the time window gets longer. This can be interpreted as follows: when the time window gets longer, the signatures of adjacent data windows become similar. This makes the data rectangles from adjacent data windows be located closely in the index space and thus enables the \( R^* \)-tree to organize MBRs (minimum bounding rectangles) in a more efficient way. The change patterns of the index size caused by the increase in the number of event types are almost the same as those shown in Fig. 5.

5.2.2. Experiment 2: query processing time with various tolerances, data set sizes, and time window sizes

Experiment 2 compared the query processing times of the three methods. First, Fig. 7 shows the query processing times with various tolerance values of query patterns. The data set had 5 million items generated from

![Fig. 5. The sizes of the proposed index and the iso-depth index with increasing data set sizes.](image-url)
a uniform distribution. The number of event types was 20 and the size of the time window was 50. The tolerance values were set to 0% (=0), 10% (=5), and 20% (=10) of a time window size. The result is shown in Fig. 7. The X-axis represents the tolerance values and the Y-axis represents the query processing times.

Since the sequential-scan-based method reads the entire event sequence from the disk in all cases, its query processing time does not change much with the tolerance values. On the contrary, the query processing times of our method and the iso-depth index noticeably increase as the tolerance value grows. This is because both methods have to access more portions of the indexes when the tolerance value becomes larger. As compared to the sequential-scan-based method, our method is about 11 and 8.6 times faster when the tolerance values are 5 and 10, respectively. As compared to the iso-depth index, our method is about 3.9 and 6.3 times faster when the tolerance values are 5 and 10, respectively.

Next, we compared the query processing times of the three methods with various sizes of a data set generated by the uniform distribution. The size of a time window was 50, and the tolerance value of query patterns was 5 (i.e., 10% of a time window size). The number of event types was 20 at first and then changed to 80. The
result is shown in Fig. 8. The X-axis shows the number of items in the event sequence and the Y-axis indicates the query processing times.

The result shows that the query processing times of all three methods increase linearly with the data set sizes. The query processing time of the sequential-scan-based method does not change much with the number of event types. However, the query processing times of our method and the iso-depth index decrease when the number of event types increases from 20 to 80. This is because both methods generate a smaller number of candidates as the number of event types grows. As compared with the sequential-scan-based method and the iso-depth index, our method performs about 40.9 times and 10.9 times faster, respectively, when the data set has 10 million items and 80 event types.

Last, Fig. 9 shows the query processing times of the three methods with various time window sizes. The data set had 2.5 million items generated with a zipf distribution, and the tolerance values were set to 10% of the time window size. The number of event types was 20 at first and then changed to 80. The figure shows that the query processing times of all three methods increase linearly with the time window size but our method runs much faster than the other two methods. It also reveals that when the number of event types
increases, the query processing time of the sequential-scan-based method does not change much but that of our method and the iso-depth index decreases. As compared to the sequential-scan-based method and the iso-depth index, our method performs up to about 106.6 times and 36.4 times faster, respectively, when the data set has 80 event types.

5.2.3. Experiment 3: query processing time with various query lengths

In this experiment, we compared the query processing times of the three methods with various numbers of event items in query patterns. The data set had 5 million data items generated with a uniform distribution. The time window size was 50 and the tolerance values were set to 10% (i.e., 5) of the time window size. The number of event types was 20 throughout the experiment. The result is shown in Fig. 10.

The query processing time of the sequential-scan-based method does not change much with the number of events in query patterns. However, the query processing times of our method and the iso-depth index decrease when the number of events in the query patterns increases. This is because both methods produce a smaller number of candidates as query patterns have more events inside. Particularly in our method, the query rectangles become smaller when the query patterns have more events inside, which significantly reduces the number of candidates retrieved by the index search.

5.2.4. Experiment 4: query processing time with extended tolerance ranges

Extending the concept of the tolerance values in query patterns, we compared the query processing times of the three methods with a new type of query $QP$ represented as $QP.EL = \langle e_1, e_2, e_3 \rangle$, $QP.RL = \langle (0, 0), (0, \text{max}_2), (0, \text{max}_3) \rangle$. The queries of this type establish the minimum value of all the tolerance ranges as 0 and thus require that an event $e_i$ should occur within the range of $[0, \text{max}_i]$ after the occurrence of the first event. These queries relax the constraint on the time intervals, and therefore enable us to obtain more results from which we may derive important knowledge.

Fig. 11 shows the query processing times of the three methods for this new type of query. The $X$-axis is for the number of items, generated with a uniform distribution, in the event sequence. The size of the time window was 50, and the number of event types was 20 at first and then changed to 80. This experiment reveals the following: when the number of event types is 20, the performance of the iso-depth index degrades fast as the data set becomes larger. As a result, the iso-depth index becomes slower than the sequential-scan-based method when the number of items in the data set has 10 million items. Unlike the iso-depth index, however, the increasing patterns of query processing times of both the sequential-scan-based method and our method are similar to those shown in Fig. 8. Specifically, the query processing time of our method increases smoothly. In addition, it beats both of the iso-depth index and the sequential-scan-based method.
6. Conclusions

Timestamped event sequence matching is useful for discovering temporal relationships among timestamped events. In this paper, we have proposed a new method for effective processing of timestamped event sequence matching. Our contributions can be summarized as follows:

- We suggest a practical query model that specifies time intervals, each of which is the allowed time gap between the first and the subsequent events, as forms of value ranges.
- We point out two drawbacks of the previous method based on the iso-depth index: (1) performance degradation with range sizes and (2) difficulty in adaptation to a dynamic environment.
- We propose a new method employing the \( R^* \)-tree that resolves the two drawbacks.
- We prove the robustness of the proposed method by showing that it guarantees no false dismissals.
- We propose a method of dimensionality reduction for overcoming the problem of the dimensionality curse by grouping event types.
- We show the effectiveness of the proposed method through extensive experiments that compare it with the previous models.

According to the performance results, the proposed method shows a significant speedup by up to a few orders of magnitude in comparison to the previous models. Furthermore, the performance improvement becomes bigger (1) as a tolerance range gets larger, (2) as the number of event types increases, (3) as the size of time window increases, (4) as a data set grows in size, and (5) as a query sequence gets longer.
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